

## On the essentiality and primeness of $\lambda$ -super socle of $C(X)$

S. MEHRAN<sup>a</sup>, M. NAMDARI<sup>b</sup> AND S. SOLTANPOUR<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Shoushtar Branch, Islamic Azad University, Shoushtar, Iran. (s.mehran@iau-shoushtar.ac.ir)

<sup>b</sup> Department of Mathematics, Shahid Chamran University of Ahvaz, Ahvaz, Iran. (namdari@ipm.ir)

<sup>c</sup> Petroleum University of Technology, Iran. (s.soltanpour@put.ac.ir)

Communicated by O. Okunev

*Dedicated to professor O.A.S. Karamzadeh on the occasion of his retirement and to appreciate his peerless activities in mathematics (especially, popularization of mathematics) for nearly half a century in Iran*

### ABSTRACT

---

Spaces  $X$  for which the annihilator of  $S_\lambda(X)$ , the  $\lambda$ -super socle of  $C(X)$  (i.e., the set of elements of  $C(X)$  that cardinality of their cozero sets are less than  $\lambda$ , where  $\lambda$  is a regular cardinal number such that  $\lambda \leq |X|$ ) is generated by an idempotent are characterized. This enables us to find a topological property equivalent to essentiality of  $S_\lambda(X)$ . It is proved that every prime ideal in  $C(X)$  containing  $S_\lambda(X)$  is essential and it is an intersection of free prime ideals. Primeness of  $S_\lambda(X)$  is characterized via a fixed maximal ideal of  $C(X)$ .

---

2010 MSC: Primary: 54C30; 54C40; 54C05; 54G12; Secondary: 13C11; 16H20.

KEYWORDS:  $\lambda$ -super socle of  $C(X)$ ;  $\lambda$ -isolated point;  $\lambda$ -disjoint spaces.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Unless otherwise mentioned all topological spaces are infinite Tychonoff and we will employ the definitions and notations used in [11] and [7].  $C(X)$  is the ring of all continuous real valued functions on  $X$ . The socle of  $C(X)$ , denoted by  $C_F(X)$ , is the sum of all minimal ideals of  $C(X)$  which plays an important role in the structure theory of noncommutative Noetherian rings, see [12], but

O.A.S. Karamzadeh initiated the research regarding the socle of  $C(X)$  (see [16]), which is the intersection of all essential ideals in  $C(X)$  (recall that, an ideal is essential if it intersects every nonzero ideal nontrivially), see [12] and [16]. Also the minimal ideals and the socle of  $C(X)$  are characterized via their corresponding  $z$ -filters; see [16]. In [10] and [15], the socle of  $C_c(X)$  (the functionally countable subalgebra of  $C(X)$ ), and  $L_c(X)$  (the locally functionally countable subalgebra of  $C(X)$ ), are investigated. The concept of the super socle is introduced in [8], denoted by  $SC_F(X)$ , which is the set of all elements  $f$  in  $C(X)$  such that  $\text{coz}(f)$  is countable. Clearly,  $SC_F(X)$  is a  $z$ -ideal containing  $C_F(X)$ . Recently, the concept of  $SC_F(X)$  has been generalized to the  $\lambda$ -super socle of  $C(X)$ ,  $S_\lambda(X)$ , where  $S_\lambda(X) = \{f \in C(X) : |X \setminus Z(f)| < \lambda\}$ , in which  $\lambda$  is a regular cardinal number with  $\lambda \leq |X|$ , is introduced and studied in [17]. It is manifest that  $C_F(X) = S_{\aleph_0}(X)$  and  $SC_F(X) = S_{\aleph_1}(X)$ . It turns out, in this regard, the ideal  $C_F(X)$  plays an important role in both concepts. As we know the prime ideals are very important in the context of  $C(X)$ . It turns out that every prime ideal in  $C(X)$  is either an essential ideal or a maximal one, therefore the study of essential ideals in  $C(X)$  is worthwhile. It is easy to see that for any ideal  $I$  in any commutative ring  $R$ , the ideal  $I + \text{Ann}(I)$ , where  $\text{Ann}(I) = \{x \in R : xI = (0)\}$  is the annihilator of  $I$ , is an essential ideal in  $R$ . Hence an ideal  $I$  in a reduced ring is an essential ideal if and only if  $\text{Ann}(I) = (0)$  (note: it suffices to recall that  $R$  is reduced if and only if  $Z(R) = \{x \in R : \text{Ann}(x) \text{ is essential in } R\} = (0)$ ). In [16, Proposition 2.1], it is proved that  $C_F(X)$  is an essential ideal in  $C(X)$  if and only if the set of all isolated points of  $X$  is dense in  $X$ . We note that in this case the socle is the smallest essential ideal in  $C(X)$ . Also the ideal  $SC_F(X)$  (the super socle of  $C(X)$ ) is an essential ideal in  $C(X)$  if and only if the set of countably isolated points of  $X$  is dense in  $X$ , see [8, Corollary 3.2]. Similarly, in what follows, we aim to relate the density of the set of  $\lambda$ -isolated points to an algebraic property of  $C(X)$ . In [3, Proposition 2.5], it is shown that the socle of  $C(X)$ , i.e.,  $C_F(X)$  is never a prime ideal in  $C(X)$ , but in [8], it is seen that  $SC_F(X)$  can be a prime ideal (or even a maximal ideal) which this may be considered as an advantage of  $SC_F(X)$  over  $C_F(X)$ . In this article we will see that  $S_\lambda(X)$  can be a prime ideal, as well.

In Section 2, some concepts and preliminary results which are used in the subsequent sections are given. In Section 3, we deal with the essentiality of  $S_\lambda(X)$  and also with the essential ideals containing  $S_\lambda(X)$ . In this section, we characterize spaces  $X$  for which the annihilator of  $S_\lambda(X)$  is generated by an idempotent. Consequently, this enables us to find an algebraic property equivalent to the density of the set of  $\lambda$ -isolated points in a space  $X$ . In contrast to the fact that  $C_F(X)$  is never a prime ideal in  $C(X)$ , in Section 4, we characterize spaces  $X$  for which  $S_\lambda(X)$  is a prime ideal (even maximal ideal).

In the final section, for a class of topological spaces, including maximal  $\lambda$ -compact ones, we prove that the  $\lambda$ -super socle of  $C(X)$  is the intersection of the essential ideals  $O_x$  containing  $S_\lambda(X)$ , where  $x$  runs through the set of

non- $\lambda$ -isolated points in  $X$ . Also we show that the  $z$ -filter corresponding to the  $\lambda$ -super socle of  $C(X)$  is the intersection of all essential  $z$ -filters containing  $S_\lambda(X)$ .

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

First we cite the following results and definitions which are in [14] and [17].

**Definition 2.1.** An element  $x \in X$  is called a  $\lambda$ -isolated point if  $x$  has a neighborhood with cardinality less than  $\lambda$ . The set of all  $\lambda$ -isolated points of  $X$  is denoted by  $I_\lambda(X)$ . If every point of  $X$  is  $\lambda$ -isolated, then  $X$  is called a  $\lambda$ -discrete space, i.e.,  $I_\lambda(X) = X$ .

**Definition 2.2.** A topological space  $X$  is said to be  $\lambda$ -compact whenever each open cover of  $X$  can be reduced to an open cover of  $X$  whose cardinality is less than  $\lambda$ , where  $\lambda$  is the least infinite cardinal number with this property.

**Definition 2.3.**  $X$  is a  $P_\lambda$ -space if every intersection of a family of cardinality less than  $\lambda$  of open sets (i.e.,  $G_\lambda$ -set) is open.

We begin with the following well-known result for  $S_\lambda(X)$ , see [17, Lemma 2.6].

**Theorem 2.4.**  $\bigcap Z[S_\lambda(X)]$  is equal to the set of non- $\lambda$ -isolated points, i.e.,  $\bigcap Z[S_\lambda(X)] = X \setminus I_\lambda(X)$ . In particular, if  $x \in X$  is a  $\lambda$ -isolated point, then there exists  $f \in S_\lambda(X)$ , such that  $f(x) = 1$ .

**Corollary 2.5.** For any space  $X$  the following statements hold.

- (1) An element  $x \in X$  is a  $\lambda$ -isolated point if and only if  $M_x + S_\lambda(X) = C(X)$ .
- (2)  $X$  is a  $\lambda$ -discrete space if and only if for all  $x \in X$ ,  $M_x + S_\lambda(X) = C(X)$ .
- (3) The ideal  $S_\lambda(X)$  is a free ideal in  $C(X)$  if and only if for all  $x \in X$ ,

$$M_x + S_\lambda(X) = C(X).$$

- (4) An element  $x \in X$  is non- $\lambda$ -isolated point if and only if  $S_\lambda(X) \subseteq M_x$ .
- (5) If  $|X| \geq \lambda$  and  $|I_\lambda(X)| < \lambda$ , then  $S_\lambda(X) = \bigcap_{x \in X \setminus I_\lambda(X)} M_x$ .

## 3. ON THE ESSENTIALITY OF $S_\lambda(X)$ IN $C(X)$

We begin with the following theorem, which is, in fact, our main result in this section.

**Theorem 3.1.**  $\text{Ann}(S_\lambda(X)) = (e)$ , where  $e$  is an idempotent in  $C(X)$  if and only if  $X = A \cup B$ , where  $A$  and  $B$  are two disjoint open subsets of  $X$  such that the set of  $\lambda$ -isolated points of  $X$  is a dense subset of  $A$  and  $B$  has no  $\lambda$ -isolated points of  $X$ .

*Proof.* Let us first get rid of the case that  $Ann(S_\lambda(X)) = (1)$ . Clearly, this case holds if and only if  $S_\lambda(X) = (0)$ , or equivalently if and if  $X$  has no  $\lambda$ -isolated point, since  $1.g = 0$ , for each  $g \in S_\lambda(X)$ , i.e.,  $S_\lambda(X) = (0)$ . Conversely, if  $S_\lambda(X) = (0)$ , then  $Ann(S_\lambda(X)) = C(X) = (1)$ . So put  $X = A \cup B$ , where  $A = \phi$  and  $B = X$ , see Theorem 2.4. Now let  $Ann(S_\lambda(X)) = (e)$ , where  $e$  is an idempotent in  $C(X)$  and  $H = I_\lambda(X)$  be the set  $\lambda$ -isolated points of  $X$ . We claim  $cl(H) = Z(e)$ . In view to Theorem 2.4, for each  $x \in H$ , there exists  $f \in S_\lambda(X)$  such that  $f(x) = 1$ . But by assumption,  $ef = 0$ , implies  $e(x) = 0$ , i.e.,  $H \subseteq Z(e)$  and consequently  $cl(H) \subseteq Z(e)$ . Now let  $x \in Z(e) \setminus cl(H)$  and seek a contradiction. By complete regularity of  $X$ , there exists  $g \in C(X)$ , such that  $g(x) = 1$  and  $g(cl(H)) = (0)$ . On the other hand for each  $y \in X \setminus H$  and every  $f \in S_\lambda(X)$ , we have  $f(y) = 0$ , see Theorem 2.4, this implies that  $gf = 0$ , for every  $f \in S_\lambda(X)$ , which in turn implies  $g \in Ann(S_\lambda(X)) = (e)$ . Since  $x \in Z(e)$  and  $g = he$ ,  $g(x) = h(x).e(x) = 0$ , which is a contradiction. Consequently,  $cl(H) = Z(e)$  and so  $cl(H)$  is clopen. Now put  $A = cl(H)$  and  $X \setminus cl(H) = B$ , thus we are done. Conversely, let  $X = A \cup B$  such that  $A$  and  $B$  are two disjoint open subsets of  $X$ , where  $A$  and  $B$  have the assumed properties. We may define

$$e(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & , x \in A \\ 1 & , x \in B \end{cases}$$

It is clear  $e \in C(X)$  and  $e^2 = e$ . We claim  $Ann(S_\lambda(X)) = (e)$ . If  $f \in S_\lambda(X)$  then  $|X \setminus Z(f)| < \lambda$  and this implies  $X \setminus Z(f) \subseteq A = Z(e)$ , i.e.,  $fe = 0$  or  $e \in Ann(S_\lambda(X))$ . It reminds to be shown that if  $f \in Ann(S_\lambda(X))$ , then  $f \in (e)$ . First, we prove that if  $f \in Ann(S_\lambda(X))$ , then  $Z(e) \subseteq Z(f)$ . To see this, put  $H = I_\lambda(X)$ , since for each  $x \in H$ , we infer that there exists  $g \in S_\lambda(X)$  such that  $g(x) = 1$ . Hence  $(fg)(x) = 0$  implies that  $f(x) = 0$ , for every  $x \in H$ . So  $f(cl(H)) = 0$  (note,  $f(cl(H)) \subseteq clf(H)$ ). So  $cl(H) = A = Z(e) \subseteq Z(f)$ , and since  $Z(e)$  is clopen,  $Z(e) \subseteq int Z(f)$  and by [11, Problem 1D],  $f$  is a multiple of  $e$ , thus  $f \in (e)$  and we are done.  $\square$

As previously mentioned, the set of isolated points in a space  $X$  is dense if and only if the socle of  $C(X)$  is essential. Similarly, in [8, Corollary 3.2], it has shown that the ideal  $SC_F(X)$  is an essential ideal if and only if the set of countably isolated points of  $X$  is dense in  $X$ . But in the following corollary, we generalize this result for  $\lambda$ -super socle.

**Corollary 3.2.** *The ideal  $S_\lambda(X)$  is an essential ideal in  $C(X)$  if and only if the set of  $\lambda$ -isolated points of  $X$  is dense in  $X$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $S_\lambda(X)$  be essential ideal, as the previous result  $Ann(S_\lambda(X)) = (0)$ , see[1, Proposition 3.1]. Therefore by the comment preceding Theorem 3.1,  $e = 0$  and  $A = Z(e) = X$ , i.e.,  $I_\lambda(X)$  is dense in  $X$ . Conversely, let  $cl(I_\lambda(X)) = X$ , since  $int(\bigcap Z[S_\lambda(X)]) = int((I_\lambda(X))^c) = (cl(I_\lambda(X)))^c = \phi$ , we infer that  $S_\lambda(X)$  is essential in  $C(X)$ , see[1, Proposition 3.1].  $\square$

Clearly, every essential ideal in any commutative ring  $R$  contains the socle of  $R$ . Now the following definition is in order.

**Definition 3.3.** An essential ideal in  $C(X)$  containing  $S_\lambda(X)$  is called a  $\lambda$ -essential ideal where  $\lambda$  is a cardinal number greater than or equal  $\aleph_0$ .

It is well known that the intersection of the essential ideals in a commutative ring  $R$  is equal to the socle of  $R$ . More generally, any ideal containing the socle of  $R$  is also an intersection of essential ideals, see [13, 3N]. It is obvious that  $S_\lambda(X)$  is the intersection of the  $\lambda$ -essential ideals of  $C(X)$ .

**Proposition 3.4.** *Let  $X$  be a  $\lambda$ -discrete space, then the set of  $\lambda$ -essential ideals and the set of free ideals containing  $S_\lambda(X)$  coincide. In particular,  $S_\lambda(X)$  is the intersection of free ideals containing it.*

*Proof.* Let  $X$  be a  $\lambda$ -discrete space and  $E$  be a free ideal containing  $S_\lambda(X)$ , it is well known that every free ideal in  $C(X)$  is an essential ideal, see [2, Proposition 2.1] and the comment preceding it, hence  $E$  is a  $\lambda$ -essential ideal which implies that the set of  $\lambda$ -essential ideals and the set of free ideals containing  $S_\lambda(X)$  coincide.  $\square$

It is clear that every maximal ideal containing the socle of any commutative ring is essential, see [16]. So each maximal ideal  $M$  containing  $S_\lambda(X)$  is  $\lambda$ -essential, since  $C_F(X) \subseteq S_\lambda(X)$ . We also recall that every prime ideal in  $C(X)$  is either essential or it is a maximal ideal which is generated by idempotent and it is a minimal prime too, see [4]. In view of these facts and using the above proposition and the fact that  $S_\lambda(X)$  is a  $z$ -ideal (hence it is an intersection of prime ideals), we immediately have the following proposition.

**Proposition 3.5.** *Every prime ideal  $P$  in  $C(X)$  containing  $S_\lambda(X)$  (or even  $C_F(X)$ ) is an essential ideal. In particular if  $X$  is a  $\lambda$ -discrete space, then  $S_\lambda(X)$  is an intersection of free prime ideals.*

#### 4. ON THE PRIMENESS OF $S_\lambda(X)$ IN $C(X)$

Our main aim in this section is to investigate the primeness of the  $\lambda$ -super socle. First, we give an example to show that  $S_\lambda(X)$  can be a prime ideal (even a maximal ideal), which is the difference between  $S_\lambda(X)$  and  $C_F(X)$ .

**Example 4.1.** Let  $X = Y \cup \{x\}$  be one point  $\lambda$ -compactification of a discrete space  $Y$ , see [17, Definition 2.11]. We claim that  $C(X) = \mathbb{R} + S_\lambda(X)$ , i.e.,  $S_\lambda(X)$  is a real maximal ideal. Let  $f \in C(X)$ , then we consider two cases. Let us first take  $x \in Z(f)$ , since  $X$  is a  $P_\lambda$ -space,  $Z(f)$  is open and so  $|X \setminus Z(f)| < \lambda$  implies  $f \in S_\lambda(X) \subseteq \mathbb{R} + S_\lambda(X)$ . Now, we suppose  $x \notin Z(f)$ , so there exists  $0 \neq r \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $f(x) = r$ . Put  $g = f - r$ , hence  $x \in Z(g)$  and therefor  $g \in S_\lambda(X)$ . We are done.

Using Corollary 2.5, it is evident that if  $x \in X$  is the only non- $\lambda$ -isolated point of  $X$ , then  $M_x$  is the unique fixed maximal ideal in  $C(X)$  such that  $S_\lambda(X) \subseteq M_x$ . It is well-known that every prime ideal in  $C(X)$  is contained in

a unique maximal ideal, see [11, Theorem 2.11]. Now let  $S_\lambda(X)$  be a prime ideal in  $C(X)$ , then  $S_\lambda(X)$  is contained in the unique maximal ideal  $M_x$ , such that  $x$  is the only non- $\lambda$ -isolated point. So the space  $X$  has only one non- $\lambda$ -isolated point. Consequently, if  $X$  has more than one non- $\lambda$ -isolated point then  $S_\lambda(X)$  can not be a prime ideal in  $C(X)$ , see 2.5. Now we have the following results.

**Proposition 4.2.** *If  $X$  is a topological space with more than one non- $\lambda$ -isolated point in  $X$ , i.e.,  $|X \setminus I_\lambda(X)| > 1$ , then  $S_\lambda(X)$  is not a prime ideal in  $C(X)$ .*

**Theorem 4.3.** *Let  $X$  be a  $P_\lambda$ -space, then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (1)  $S_\lambda(X) = M_x$ , for som  $x \in X$ .
- (2)  $X$  is a  $\lambda$ -compact space containing only one non- $\lambda$ -isolated point.

*Proof.* ((1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2)) Evidently,  $x \in X$  is the only non- $\lambda$ -isolated point in  $X$ , see Corollary 2.5 and Proposition 4.2. Now we show that  $X$  is a  $\lambda$ -compact space. Put  $X = \bigcup_{i \in I} G_i$ , such that  $G_i$  is an open set in  $X$ , for each  $i \in I$  and  $|I| \geq \lambda$ . Since  $x \in \bigcup_{i \in I} G_i$ , there exists  $k \in I$ , such that  $x \in G_k$ . But by complete regularity of  $X$ , there exists  $f \in C(X)$  such that  $x \in \text{int}(Z(f)) \subseteq G_k$ . Since  $X$  is a  $P_\lambda$ -space,  $x \in Z(f)$  and therefore  $f \in M_x = S_\lambda(X)$ . Thus  $|X \setminus G_k| \leq |X \setminus Z(f)| = |\text{coz}(f)| < \lambda$ , i.e.,  $X = (\bigcup_{j \in J} G_j) \cup G_k$ , where  $J \subseteq I$  and  $|J| < \lambda$ . Now, it is sufficient to show that  $\lambda$  is the least infinite cardinal number with this property. To see this we show that there exists an open cover of  $X$  with cardinality  $\beta < \lambda$  which is not reducible to a subcover with cardinality less than  $\beta$ . By [17, Lemma 2.13], there exists a closed subspace  $F \subset X$ , such that  $|F| = \beta$  and  $x \in F$ . Now, by complete regularity of  $X$ , for each  $s \in F$  and  $y \in F \setminus \{s\}$ , there exists  $f_y \in C(X)$ , such that  $f_y(s) = 0$  and  $f_y(y) = 1$ . Therefore  $s \in \bigcap_{y \in F \setminus \{s\}} Z(f_y) = G_s$  and since  $X$  is a  $p_\lambda$ -space,  $G_s$  is an open set of  $X$ . So  $X = (X \setminus F) \cup \{G_s\}_{s \in F}$  is an open cover of  $X$ . It goes without saying that  $G_s \cap F = \{s\}$  and therefore the above cover cannot reduce to an open cover of  $X$  with cardinality less than  $\beta$ . Consequently,  $X$  is a  $\lambda$ -compact space.

((2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1)) It is sufficient to show that  $M_x \subseteq S_\lambda(X)$ , where  $x$  is the only non- $\lambda$ -isolated point of  $X$ . Let  $f \in M_x$ , i.e.,  $x \in Z(f)$ . Since each point of  $X$  except  $x$  is a  $\lambda$ -isolated point we infer that for every  $y \in X \setminus Z(f)$ , there exists a neighborhood of  $y$  in  $X$ , say  $G_y$ , with cardinality less than  $\lambda$ . Hence  $(X \setminus Z(f)) \subseteq \bigcup_{i \in I} G_{y_i}$ , where  $|I| < \lambda$  and  $y_i$  is a  $\lambda$ -isolated point, for each  $i \in I$ . Thus  $|\bigcup_{i \in I} G_{y_i}| < \lambda$  implies that  $|X \setminus Z(f)| < \lambda$  and we are done.  $\square$

We note that if  $X$  has at most one non- $\lambda$ -isolated point, then by criterion for recognizing the essential ideals in  $C(X)$ , see [1, theorem 3.1],  $S_\lambda(X)$  is essential in  $C(X)$  and by Proposition 4.2, it is an essential prime ideal of  $C(X)$ . If  $X$  is the one point  $\lambda$ -compactification of a discrete space, then  $S_\lambda(X)$  is an essential maximal ideal, see Theorem 4.3. The above discussion refers to the following proposition which is proved in [1, Proposition 4.1].

**Proposition 4.4.** *If  $X$  is an infinite space, there is an essential ideal in  $C(X)$  which is not a prime ideal.*

The following theorem is the counterpart of the above proposition.

**Theorem 4.5.** *Let  $X$  be a topological space with  $|X| \geq \lambda$  such that  $|X \setminus I_\lambda(X)| > 1$ , then there exists a  $\lambda$ -essential ideal in  $C(X)$  which is not a prime ideal.*

*Proof.* By assumption, there exist two distinct non- $\lambda$ -isolated points, say  $x$  and  $y$ . Now, define  $E = \{f \in C(X) : \{x, y\} \subseteq Z(f)\}$ , then  $\bigcap Z[E] = \{x, y\}$  and therefore by the criterion for recognizing the essential ideals,  $E$  is essential. Since  $x, y \in \bigcap Z[S_\lambda(X)]$ , by Theorem 2.4 we infer that  $S_\lambda(X) \subseteq E$ . It is evident that  $E$  is not a prime ideal, see [11, Theorem 2.11] and we are done.  $\square$

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. *The authors would like to thank professor O.A.S. Karamzadeh for introducing the topics of this article and for his helpful discussion. The authors are also indebted to the well-informed, meticulous referee for his/her carefully reading the article and giving valuable and constructive comments.*

#### REFERENCES

- [1] F. Azarpanah, Essential ideals in  $C(X)$ , *Period. Math. Hungar.* 31 (1995), 105–112.
- [2] F. Azarpanah, Intersection of essential ideals in  $C(X)$ , *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 125 (1997), 2149–2154.
- [3] F. Azarpanah, Algebraic properties of some compact spaces, *Real Analysis Exchange* 25, no. 1 (1999), 317–328.
- [4] F. Azarpanah, O. A. S. Karamzadeh and S. Rahmati,  $C(X)$  VS.  $C(X)$  modulo its socle, *Coll. Math.* 3 (2008), 315–336.
- [5] F. Azarpanah, O. A. S. Karamzadeh, Z. Keshtkar A. R. Olfati, On maximal ideals of  $C_c(X)$  and the uniformity of its localizations, *Rocky Mountain Journal of Mathematics* 48, no. 2 (2018), 345–384.
- [6] T. Dube, Contracting the socle in rings of continuous functions, *Rend. Semin. Mat. Univ. Padova* 123 (2010), 37–53.
- [7] R. Engelking, *General topology*, Heldermann Verlag Berlin, 1989.
- [8] S. G. Ghasemzadeh, O. A. S. Karamzadeh and M. Namdari, The super socle of the ring of continuous functions, *Math. Slovaca* 67, no. 4 (2017), 1–10.
- [9] M. Ghadermazi, O. A. S. Karamzadeh and M. Namdari,  $C(X)$  versus its functionally countable subalgebra, *Bull. Iranian Math. Soc.*, to appear.
- [10] M. Ghadermazi, O. A. S. Karamzadeh and M. Namdari, On the functionally countable subalgebra of  $C(X)$ , *Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova* 129 (2013), 47–70.
- [11] L. Gillman and M. Jerison, *Rings of continuous functions*, Springer-Verlag, 1976.
- [12] K. R. Goodearl, JR. and R. B. Warfield, *An introduction to noncommutative Noetherian rings*, London Mathematical Society Students Texts, Vol. 16, Cambridge University Press, 1989.
- [13] K. R. Goodearl, *Von Neumann regular rings*, Pitman Publishing Limited, London, San Francisco, Melbourne, 1979.

- [14] O. A. S. Karamzadeh, M. Namdari and M. A. Siavoshi, A note on  $\lambda$ -compact spaces, *Math. Slovaca* 63, no. 6 (2013), 1371–1380.
- [15] O. A. S. Karamzadeh, M. Namdari and S. Soltanpour, On the locally functionally countable subalgebra of  $C(X)$ , *Appl. Gen. Topol.* 16, no. 2 (2015), 183–207.
- [16] O. A. S. Karamzadeh and M. Rostami, On the intrinsic topology and some related ideals of  $C(X)$ , *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 93 (1985), 179–184.
- [17] S. Mehran and M. Namdari, The  $\lambda$ -super socle of the ring of continuous functions, *Categories and General Algebraic Structures with Applications* 6, no. 1 (2017), 37–50.