



UNIVERSITAT  
POLITÈCNICA  
DE VALÈNCIA

PROGRAMA DE DOCTORADO EN DISEÑO,  
FABRICACIÓN Y GESTIÓN DE PROYECTOS  
INDUSTRIALES

**PROPUESTA DE INCLUSIÓN DE ESFUERZOS  
EN EL CONTROL DE UN BRAZO ROBOT PARA  
ASEGURAR EL CUMPLIMIENTO DE LA  
RUGOSIDAD SUPERFICIAL DURANTE  
OPERACIONES DE LIJADO EN DIFERENTES  
MATERIALES**

TESIS DOCTORAL PRESENTADA POR  
Rodrigo Alonso Pérez Ubeda

DIRECTORES  
Dr. Santiago C. Gutiérrez Rubert  
Dr. Ranko Zotovic Stanisic

Valencia, febrero de 2022

# Índice

<b>Resumen.....</b>	<b>ix</b>
<b>Abstract.....</b>	<b>xi</b>
<b>Resum .....</b>	<b>xiii</b>
<b>Índice de figuras .....</b>	<b>xxi</b>
<b>Índice de tablas .....</b>	<b>xxv</b>
<b>Capítulo 1: Introducción.....</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1. Antecedentes .....	1
1.2. Hipótesis y Objetivo de la investigación.....	4
1.2.1. Hipótesis.....	4
1.2.2. Objetivo General.....	4
1.2.3. Objetivos Específicos.....	5
1.2.4. Preguntas de Investigación .....	5
1.3. Estructura de la Tesis.....	5

1.4. Resumen de las publicaciones .....	6
<b>Capítulo 2: A study on robot arm machining: Advance and future challenges .....</b>	<b>9</b>
Abstract.....	11
2.1. Introduction.....	12
2.2. Main challenge: Robotic machining model.....	14
2.3. Advances in robotic machining.....	17
2.3.1. Control of the machining process.....	18
2.3.2. Planning and programming trajectories in machining.....	20
2.3.3. Redundancy.....	21
2.3.4. Posture optimization in robots.....	22
2.3.5. Vibration/chatter analysis.....	24
2.3.6. Devices and methodologies.....	26
2.4. Future Works .....	27
2.5. Conclusions .....	28
2.6. References.....	29
<b>Capítulo 3: Design and Manufacturing of an Ultra-Low-Cost Custom Torque Sensor for Robotics .....</b>	<b>35</b>
Abstract.....	37
3.1. Introduction.....	38
3.2. Design Methodology.....	41
3.2.1. Selection of material for elastic element .....	42
3.2.2. Analysis of design requeriments .....	43
3.2.3. Selection and arrangement of strain gauges .....	44
3.2.4. Manufacturing requirements and functional verification.....	47

3.2.5. Analytical model .....	48
3.3. Results and discussion .....	51
3.3.1. Design optimization.....	51
3.3.2. Analysis of deviations in manufacturing .....	52
3.3.3. Dynamic properties of the sensor .....	54
3.3.4. Manufacturing process .....	55
3.3.5. Calibration procedure.....	57
3.3.6. Manufacturing costs.....	61
3.4. Conclusions.....	62
3.5. References .....	63
<b>Capítulo 4: Study of the application of a collaborative robot for machining tasks .</b>	
.....	67
Abstract .....	69
4.1. Introduction .....	69
4.2. Methodology .....	73
4.3. Results and discussion .....	76
4.3.1. Machining with robots.....	76
4.3.2. Cutting forces.....	78
4.4. Conclusions.....	80
4.5. References .....	80
<b>Capítulo 5: Force Control Improvement in Collaborative Robots through Theory Analysis and Experimental Endorsement .....</b>	83
Abstract .....	85
5.1. Introduction .....	86

5.2. Description of Dynamic model for a robot with elastic joints.....	88
5.3. Inner/outer control loops.....	89
5.4. Analysis of inner motion loops.....	92
5.4.1. The stiffness matrix.....	94
5.4.2. Absolute cartesian position inner loop .....	100
5.4.3. Incremental cartesian position inner loop .....	103
5.4.4. Cartesian Velocity inner loop .....	104
5.5. Methodology .....	106
5.5.1. Experimental setup .....	106
5.5.2. Method .....	108
5.5.3. Task planning .....	109
5.5.4. Stiffness parameters identification .....	110
5.6. Results and discussions .....	111
5.6.1. The inner loop .....	111
5.6.2. The outer loops.....	113
5.6.3. Polishing application.....	117
5.7. Conclusions .....	119
5.8. References.....	121
<b>Capítulo 6: Behavioural study of the force control loop used in a collaborative robot for sanding materials .....</b>	<b>125</b>
Abstract.....	127
6.1. Introduction.....	128
6.2. Materials and Methods .....	131
6.2.1. Experimental setup .....	131

6.2.2. Design of experiments .....	133
6.2.3. Analysis of variance .....	135
6.3. Results and discussions.....	136
6.3.1. Effect of the parameters .....	136
6.3.2. Graphs of force response and surface aspects .....	143
6.3.3. Effect of feed rate.....	148
6.3.4. Comparison with a standard industrial robot.....	150
6.4. Conclusions.....	151
6.5. References .....	154
<b>Capítulo 7: Discusión general de los resultados.....</b>	<b>157</b>
<b>Capítulo 8: Conclusiones .....</b>	<b>165</b>
8.1. Cumplimiento de los objetivos .....	165
8.2. Aportaciones realizadas.....	166
8.3. Líneas de investigación futuras .....	167
<b>Capítulo 9: Referencias bibliográficas.....</b>	<b>169</b>



# Índice de figuras

Figure 2.1. (a) Principle of real time deformation compensation. ( $\mathbf{F}_m^s$ : sensing force, $\mathbf{q}_r$ , joint position) [6], [9].....	18
Figure 2.2. Stability as function of the redundancy of 1-dof [29].....	22
Figure 2.3. The placement of workspace with respect to robot [32].....	24
Figure 2.4. Comparison between Pan et al. and Cen et al. chatter avoidance methods: (a) Old method, (b) New method [33]. F: force, K: stiffness, $\beta$ : angle between X-axis and force, $\gamma$ : angle between force and maximum stiffness .....	26
Figure 3.1. Use of sensors in a robotic arm, (a) single force and torque sensor located in the manipulator, (b) torque sensors arranged in each joint of the robot arm. .....	39
Figure 3.2. Types of force/torque sensor structures: (a) Crossbeam, (b) Crossbeam modified, (c) Body E-type membrane (EE) (d) Sliding structure, (e) Four- bar linkage shape, (f) Square cube. ....	40
Figure 3.3. Types of torque sensor structures with gauges: (a) Solid Cylinder, (b) Hollow Cylinder, (c) Hub-Sprocket (d) Hollow Cruciform, (e) Hollow Hexaform, (f) Spoke Topology. [2]. ....	43

Figure 3.4. Hub-Sprocket Geometry: (a) Beam deformation; (b) Attachment of gauges.....	45
Figure 3.5. Complete Wheatstone bridge.....	46
Figure 3.6. Example of different geometries, (a-d) with the results of CAE analysis.	
.....	48
Figure 3.7. Mechanical model of an elastic body under Mz.....	49
Figure 3.8. Behaviour strain of the elastic bodies with geometries A and D. ....	50
Figure 3.9. Finite element analysis: (a) Stress analysis; (b) Strain analysis. ....	51
Figure 3.10. Strain variation in accordance with tolerance: (a) Location, (b) Size and (c) Flatness. .....	53
Figure 3.11. Harmonic response of the diagrams under the measuring of torque Mz.	
.....	54
Figure 3.12. Time response of the sensor a step input de 20 Nm. ....	55
Figure 3.13. Improvements in manufacturing (a) Manual control bench; (b) CNC milling machine; (c) Sensor 1 Nm; (d) Sensor 20 Nm. ....	57
Figure 3.14. Calibration Bench. ....	58
Figure 3.15. Calibration circuit. ....	59
Figure 3.16. Graph bridge output voltage vs. applied torque: (a) 1 Nm Sensor, (b) 20 Nm. ....	60
Figure 4.1. (a) Block scheme of force control with inner position loop; (b) Block scheme of force control with inner velocity loop. ....	72
Figure 4.2. (a) Machining cell with Mitsubishi robot; (b) Machining cell with UR3 robot.....	74
Figure 4.3. (a) Machined aluminum part; (b) Machined resin part face a; (c) Machined resin part face b; (d) Machined resin part with experiments.....	76
Figure 4.4. (a) Vertical deviation in aluminum; (b) Vertical deviation in resin; (c) Horizontal deviation in resin. ....	77

Figure 4.5. Machining forces (a) Experiment 1; (b) Experiment 4; (c) Experiment 6; (d) Experiment 9.....	79
Figure 5.1. Force control with inner motion loops (a) with inner absolute position loop, (b) with inner incremental position loop, and (c) with inner velocity loop.....	90
Figure 5.2. Block schemes of operational space control (a) with Jacobian inverse and (b) with Jacobian transpose.....	94
Figure 5.3. The joint module of the Light WeightRobot III, adapted from Institute of Robotics and Mechatronics of German Aerospace Center (Deutsches Zentrum für Luft-und Raumfahrt-DLR) [38]. .....	96
Figure 5.4. Experimental setup. ....	107
Figure 5.5. Scheme of experiments. ....	110
Figure 5.6. Force control with inner position loop. ....	111
Figure 5.7. Stiffness analysis in the inner position loop. ....	112
Figure 5.8. Force control with inner velocity loop. ....	113
Figure 5.9. Proportional derivative (PD) vs. proportional with velocity feedback (PV) comparison.....	114
Figure 5.10. Integral action (PI) vs. feedforward action (P + FF) comparison. ....	115
Figure 5.11. Polishing with force control with reference force 5 N.....	117
Figure 5.12. Polishing with Force control with reference force 10 N.....	118
Figure 6.1. Experimental setup. ....	132
Figure 6.2. Marginal means. (a) Surface roughness, (b) Standard deviation, (c) Maximum deviation, (d) Minimum deviation, (e) Number of upper peaks, (f) Number of lower peaks, (g) Mean of contact force. ....	140
Figure 6.3. The surface appearance of sanding discs.....	141
Figure 6.4. Experiment E6, sanding steel with P+FF control and reference force of 5 N. (a) Force response, (b) sandpaper aspect and (c) visual surface finish. ....	144

Figure 6.5. Experiment E2, sanding aluminium with P+FF control and reference force of 5 N. (a) Force response, (b) sandpaper aspect and (c) visual surface finish.....	145
Figure 6.6. Experiment E12, sanding brass with PIV control and reference force of 5 N. (a) Force response, (b) sandpaper aspect and (c) visual surface finish.....	146
Figure 6.7. Experiment E20, sanding PVC with PIV control and reference force of 5 N. (a) Force response, (b) sandpaper aspect and (c) visual surface finish.....	147
Figure 6.8. Experiment E14, sanding wood with P+FF control and reference force of 5 N. (a) Force response, (b) sandpaper aspect and (c) visual surface finish.....	147
Figure 6.9. Results for cut feed variation on brass.....	149
Figure 6.10. Force response for UR3 sanding wood with a sandpaper grain size of 400.....	151

# Índice de tablas

Table 2.1. Comparison of CNC machines and robots for machining [4]. .....	13
Table 2.2. Summary of related works for the Cartesian stiffness matrix [12].....	15
Table 3.1. Mechanical Properties: Aluminum 7075-T6.....	42
Table 3.2. Strain gauge specifications. ....	45
Table 3.3. Strain of the sensors. ....	52
Table 3.4. Voltage variation in accordance with manufacturing deviation. ....	53
Table 3.5. Resonance Frequencies .....	54
Table 3.6. Calibration results.....	60
Table 3.7. Sensitivity and torsional rigidity for sensor type Hub-Sprocket.....	61
Table 3.8. Manufacturing costs per machine type.....	61
Table 4.1. Robot specifications. ....	74
Table 4.2. Experiments parameters. ....	75
Table 4.3. Roughness results. ....	78
Table 5.1. Typical control action for outer force loops. ....	91

Table 5.2. Response force of the control force with absolute position inner loop .....	102
Table 5.3. Response force of the control force with incremental position inner loop. ....	104
Table 5.4. Response force of the control force with inner velocity loop .....	105
Table 5.5. Stiffness joint parameters. ....	110
Table 5.6. Comparison of outer loops.....	116
Table 5.6. Comparison of outer loops.....	117
Table 5.8. Results for polishing task. ....	118
Table 6.1. Design of the experiments.....	134
Table 6.2. Experimental results. ....	137
Table 6.3. ANOVA results (part one). ....	138
Table 6.4. ANOVA results (part two). ....	139
Table 6.5. Test results for the cut feed variations.....	148