

Contents

1	Introduction	1
1.1	General context	1
1.2	Background	3
1.2.1	Local approach to the energy transition	3
1.2.2	Just transition of cities	4
1.2.3	The district scale	7
1.3	Objectives	9
1.4	Methodology	10
1.5	Structure	11
2	Prioritising Positive Energy Districts to achieve carbon neutral cities: Delphi-DANP approaches	19
2.1	Introduction	21
2.2	Positive Energy Districts and decision making methods	24
2.2.1	Benefits and potential applications of PEDs	24
2.2.2	PED assessment	25
2.2.3	Multi Criteria Decision Methods	26
2.3	Methodology	28
2.3.1	Preparation of the model	30
2.3.2	Priorisation and validation of the criteria - Delphi	34
2.3.3	Resolution of the DANP model	35
2.4	Case study	38
2.4.1	València	38
2.4.2	Experts selected	39
2.4.3	Alternatives analysed	40
2.5	Results and Discussion	41
2.5.1	Selection of the criteria based on a Delphi strategy	42
2.5.2	Overall DEMATEL results	44

2.5.3	Partial analysis of the results	48
2.5.4	Analysis by expert bias	50
2.5.5	Policy implications and further work	52
2.6	Conclusions	54
3	Planning positive energy districts in urban waterfronts: Approach to La Marina de València, Spain	65
3.1	Introduction	67
3.1.1	Ports	69
3.1.2	Urban Waterfronts	70
3.1.3	Contributions	71
3.2	Materials and methods	72
3.2.1	Production analysis	75
3.2.2	Indicators	80
3.3	Case Study: La Marina de València	82
3.4	Results and discussion	85
3.4.1	Maximum energy production	88
3.4.2	Maximum renewable autarchy	89
3.4.3	Minimum cost	91
3.4.4	Possible pathways	92
3.4.5	Discussion	94
3.5	Conclusions	96
3.6	Appendix	102
4	Assessing gender and climate objectives interactions in urban decarbonisation policies	103
4.1	Introduction	105
4.2	Bridging complexities between gender and climate in urban decarbonisation policies	108
4.2.1	Gender perspective approach in urban decarbonisation policies	108
4.2.2	Multi-Criteria decision methods	110
4.3	Study design and method	111
4.3.1	Definition of the model	113
4.3.2	Consultation with experts	114
4.3.3	Weighting of the criteria and actions	115
4.3.4	Analysis of the results	117
4.4	Model description	118
4.5	Results and discussion	120
4.5.1	Weight and interaction of the criteria	121
4.5.2	Weight and dispersion of the actions	124

4.5.3	Bias by expert type	126
4.5.4	Bias by criteria type	128
4.6	Conclusions	131
5	Panel or check? Assessing the benefits of integrating households in energy poverty into energy communities	147
5.1	Introduction	150
5.2	The energy poverty challenge for a just energy transition	152
5.2.1	Energy checks as a solution to energy poverty	153
5.2.2	PV systems and energy poverty	153
5.3	Methodology	155
5.3.1	Mathematical model	156
5.4	Case study	158
5.4.1	Spanish energy poverty framework	158
5.4.2	Spanish REC framework	160
5.4.3	Data and context of the selected citizens	160
5.5	Results	162
5.5.1	Overall results	163
5.5.2	Individualized results	166
5.5.3	Analysis of savings distribution	166
5.6	Discussion and policy implications	168
5.7	Conclusions	169
5.8	Appendix	177
5.8.1	Electricity billing	177
5.8.2	Financial evaluation	178
5.8.3	Additional figures	179
6	General discussion of the results	181
7	Conclusions and future research	187
7.1	Conclusions and contributions	187
7.2	Future research	189
8	Publications and developed activities	191
8.1	Peer reviewed Journals	191
8.2	Research stays	192
8.3	Conferences	193
8.3.1	International Conferences	193
8.4	Competitive Research projects	194
	List of Figures	195

List of Tables