



UNIVERSITAT
POLITÈCNICA
DE VALÈNCIA

Controller Tuning by Means of Evolutionary Multiobjective Optimization:

a Holistic Multiobjective Optimization Design Procedure

PhD dissertation by:
Gilberto Reynoso Meza

Supervisors:
Dr. F. Xavier Blasco Ferragud and Dr. Javier Sanchís Saez



Instituto Universitario de Automática e Informática Industrial
Universitat Politècnica de València

May, 2014

Contents

Contents	xv
Main acronyms	xix
Main symbols	xxi
List of Algorithms	xxiii
List of Figures	xxiv
List of Tables	xxvii
Aims, Structure and Contributions of this thesis	2
<hr/>	
I Fundamentals	11
<hr/>	
1 Tutorial on Multiobjective Optimization Design procedures.	17
1.1 Aim of this chapter	17
1.2 Engineering design as a multiobjective optimization problem .	18
1.3 Background on Multiobjective Optimization	21
1.4 Multiobjective Optimization Design (MOOD) procedure	24
1.4.1 Multiobjective Problem (MOP) definition	24
1.4.2 Evolutionary Multi-objective Optimization (EMO)	27
1.4.3 Multi-Criteria Decision-Making (MCDM)	36
1.5 Background on MOOD Tools	39
1.5.1 EMO process: Multiobjective Differential Evolution with Spherical pruning (sp-MODE) algorithm.	40
1.5.2 MCDM stage: Level Diagrams visualization	45
1.6 Conclusions on this chapter	48
2 Review on MOOD procedure for controller tuning	53
2.1 Aim of this chapter	53
2.2 Applications on controller tuning.	54
2.2.1 PI-PID controller design concept	56
2.2.2 Fuzzy controller design concept	61
2.2.3 State space feedback controller design concept	65
2.2.4 Predictive control design concept	66
2.2.5 Other design concepts approaches	68

2.3	Conclusions on this chapter	70
2.3.1	The multiobjective problem statement	71
2.3.2	The evolutionary multiobjective process	73
2.3.3	The multicriteria decision making step	74
<hr/>		
II	Preliminary Contributions on Controller Tuning	75
<hr/>		
3	MOOD procedure for multivariable PI controller tuning	81
3.1	Aim of this chapter	81
3.2	Optimization statement	82
3.3	Benchmark setup: the Wood & Berry distillation column process	85
3.3.1	Multi-objective Problem Definition	85
3.3.2	Evolutionary Multi-objective Optimization process . . .	85
3.3.3	Decision making stage	86
3.4	Conclusions on this chapter	92
4	MOOD procedure for multivariable controller tuning	99
4.1	Aim of this chapter	99
4.2	Optimization statement	100
4.3	Experimental setup: The Twin Rotor MIMO System	103
4.3.1	Optimization stage	103
4.3.2	PID controller tuning	104
4.3.3	State space feedback controller tuning	107
4.4	Experimental validation: The Twin Rotor MIMO System	109
4.4.1	PID controller - experimental results	109
4.4.2	State space approach - experimental results	115
4.4.3	Discussions	115
4.5	Conclusions on this chapter	119
<hr/>		
III	Contributions on MOOD Tools	123
<hr/>		
5	Level Diagrams for design concepts comparison	129
5.1	Aim of this chapter	129
5.2	Design concepts comparison	130
5.3	Quality measure to compare design concepts	131
5.4	Examples	136
5.4.1	Bi-objective truss design problem	136
5.4.2	Disc brake design	138
5.4.3	Parametric controller design	142
5.4.4	Performance evaluation of MOEAs	148
5.5	Conclusions on this chapter	149

6	Pertinency improvement in EMO	155
6.1	Aim of this chapter	155
6.2	Background on Physical Programming	156
6.3	Pertinency improvement mechanism by means of global Physical Programming	157
6.3.1	Global Physical Programming statements	158
6.3.2	Population selection and archive update	160
6.3.3	Tolerable solutions handling	161
6.3.4	Multiple preferences coding	163
6.3.5	Pareto front approximation size control	164
6.3.6	Algorithm proposal: sp-MODE-II. Discussions and insights	165
6.4	Examples	166
6.4.1	The 3-bar truss design problem	168
6.4.2	The DTLZ2 benchmark problem	169
6.4.3	Parametric controller tuning	172
6.4.4	Performance evaluation with other approaches	173
6.5	Conclusions on this chapter	179
<hr/>		
IV	Final Contributions on Controller Tuning	183
<hr/>		
7	Stochastic sampling of stable PID controllers	189
7.1	Aim of this chapter	189
7.2	Sampling Procedure	190
7.2.1	Computation of stable set	190
7.2.2	Sampling from stable set	191
7.3	Performance Evaluation	193
7.3.1	Performance test 1: Convergence improvement	198
7.3.2	Performance test 2: multidisciplinary optimization	201
7.4	Conclusions on this chapter	202
8	MOOD procedure with preferences for controller tuning	207
8.1	Aim of this chapter	207
8.2	MOOD procedure with preferences for multivariable PI controller tuning	208
8.3	Benchmark setup: the Wood & Berry distillation column process	209
8.3.1	Design problem statement	210
8.3.2	Results and Discussions	211
8.4	Benchmark setup: the Boiler Control problem	217
8.4.1	Design problem statement	219
8.4.2	Results and Discussions	221
8.5	Conclusions on this chapter	225

Conclusions of this thesis	232
References	239
Author	258