

Table of contents:

Abstract	i
Resumen	xi
Resum	xxi
Acknowledgments	xxxii
Preface	xxxiii
Figures	xxxvii
Tables	xliv
List of acronyms, abbreviations and equivalences	xlvi

Chapter I. Introduction

1.1. The biofuel sector	3
1.1.1. Leading biofuel countries	3
1.1.1.1. Ethanol-producing countries	5
1.1.1.2. Biodiesel-producing countries	7
1.1.2. The European biofuel sector	10
1.2. Biofuel policies	15
1.2.1. European biofuel policies	17
1.2.1.1. Renewable Energy Directive	17
1.2.1.2. Fuel Quality Directive	19
1.2.1.3. Draft Directive on indirect land use change	19
1.2.2. Main biofuel policies across the world	21
1.2.2.1. The United States	21
1.2.2.2. Brazil	23
1.2.2.3. Argentina	24
1.2.2.4. Southeast Asia	24
1.3. The biodiesel sector in Spain	25
1.3.1. Regulatory framework	25
1.3.2. Sector overview	26
1.4. The problem of glycerol glut	28
1.5. Life cycle perspective in the environmental assessment of biofuels	30
1.5.1. Life Cycle Assessment of biofuels	30
1.5.2. Field-to-wheel analysis	32
1.5.3. Consequential Life Cycle Assessment	33
1.5.4. Discrepancies between GHG calculations in biofuel studies	34
1.6. Motivation of the dissertation	37
1.7. Objectives of the dissertation	38

Chapter II. Methodological overview

2.1. General outline and working plan	43
2.2. Attributional Life Cycle Assessment	47
2.2.1. Multi-functional systems	48
2.3. Consequential Life Cycle Assessment	51
2.3.1. System expansion	52
2.3.2. Partial Equilibrium approach	53
2.3.3. Computable General Equilibrium approach	57
2.3.3.1. The Global Trade Analysis Project	59
2.4. Life Cycle Costing	62
2.5. Uncertainty analysis	64
2.5.1. Scenario analysis	66
2.5.2. Sensitivity analysis	68
2.5.3. Monte Carlo analysis	69

Chapter III. Results

3.1.Uncertainty analysis in the environmental assessment of an integrated management system for restaurants and catering waste in Spain	75
Abstract	77
Keywords	77
3.1.1. Introduction	78
3.1.2. Methods	80
3.1.2.1. Functional Unit	80
3.1.2.2. System description and scenario formulations	81
3.1.2.3. Life Cycle Inventory	86
3.1.2.4. Environmental assessment	90
3.1.2.5. Sensitivity and uncertainty analyses	90
3.1.3. Results	91
3.1.3.1. Impact assessment of the base scenarios	91
3.1.3.2. Results from the uncertainty analysis	95
3.1.4. Discussion	99
3.1.5. Conclusions	102
3.2.Uncertainty analysis in the financial assessment of an integrated management system for restaurants and catering waste in Spain	105
Abstract	107
Keywords	107

3.2.1. Introduction	108
3.2.2. Methods	111
3.2.2.1. Functional Unit	111
3.2.2.2. System description and scenario formulations	112
3.2.2.3. Inventory data	115
3.2.2.4. Financial assessment	117
3.2.2.5. Uncertainty analysis	118
3.2.3. Results	121
3.2.3.1. Financial results from the base scenarios	121
3.2.3.2. Results from the uncertainty analysis	123
3.2.4. Discussion	128
3.2.4.1. Discussion of the LCC results	128
3.2.4.2. Comparison of the LCA and LCC results	130
3.2.5. Conclusions	134
3.3. Consequential LCA of two alternative systems for biodiesel consumption in Spain, considering uncertainty	137
Abstract	139
Keywords	139
3.3.1. Introduction	140
3.3.2. Materials and methods	142
3.3.2.1. Functional Unit	142
3.3.2.2. System description and system boundaries	142
3.3.2.3. Inventory data	145
3.3.2.4. Impact assessment	147
3.3.2.5. Sensitivity and uncertainty analyses	147
3.3.3. Results	151
3.3.3.1. Impact assessment of the base scenarios	151
3.3.3.2. Sensitivity and uncertainty analysis	156
3.3.4. Discussion	158
3.3.5. Conclusions	160
3.4. Optimization of the biodiesel supply chain in Spain to meet the European GHG reduction targets	163
Abstract	165
Keywords	165
3.4.1. Introduction	166
3.4.1.1. Biofuel policy landscape in the EU	166
3.4.1.2. Environmental implications of biofuels	168
3.4.2. Materials and methods	170
3.4.2.1. Industrial module	171
3.4.2.2. Agricultural module	173

3.4.2.3. Agri-model calibration	177
3.4.2.4. Emissions module	178
3.4.2.4.1. Biodiesel pathways from domestic virgin oils	179
3.4.2.4.2. Biodiesel pathways from imported virgin oils	181
3.4.2.4.3. Biodiesel pathways from UCO	182
3.4.2.4.4. Partitioning	182
3.4.2.5. Policy and land use scenarios	183
3.4.3. Results	185
3.4.3.1. Optimal mix, emission factors and GHG savings	185
3.4.3.2. LCA results	190
3.4.3.3. Shifts in land use in Spanish agriculture	194
3.4.4. Discussion	196
3.4.4.1. ILUC impacts from domestic oilseeds	196
3.4.4.2. Policy recommendations	199
3.4.5. Conclusions	201
3.5. Global land use change and greenhouse gas emissions due to recent European biofuel policies	203
Abstract	205
Keywords	205
3.5.1. Introduction	206
3.5.2. Methodology	211
3.5.2.1. The GTAP-BIO version	211
3.5.2.2. Intensive and extensive margins	214
3.5.2.3. Calculation	215
3.5.3. Results	217
3.5.3.1. Market responses	218
3.5.3.2. Land use change effects and GHG emissions	221
3.5.4. Discussion	225
3.5.5. Conclusions	228

Chapter IV. Discussion

4.1. GHG emission factors	233
4.2. Emission factors for other critical impact categories	243
4.3. Pros and cons of life cycle methodologies	247

Chapter V. Conclusions

5.1. Conclusions about the Integral-b project assessment	255
5.2. Conclusions about the consequential LCA	257
5.3. General conclusions and policy recommendations	261

Chapter VI. References

Annexes

Annex A. Supplementary material for section 3.1	303
A1. Life Cycle Inventory	303
A2. Description of the sensitivity analysis	306
A3. Impact assessment results	315
A4. Probability distributions and Monte Carlo simulation results	318
A5. References	326
Annex B. Supplementary material for section 3.4	327
B1. Model validation and calibration	327
B2. Mathematical specification of the agro-industrial model	330
Annex C. Supplementary material for section 3.5	339
C1. Regions, industries and commodities in the GTAP-BIO model	339
C2. Market responses	340